UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,994	12/03/2003	Rakha Hari Das	41144F010	5203
	7590 02/04/200 BRELL & RUSSELL	EXAMINER		
1130 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 1130			SWARTZ, RODNEY P	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/725,994	DAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	ecember 2009.					
	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 11-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,11-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/725,994 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. THE FINALITY OF THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS HEREBY VACATED.

2. Applicants' Response to Final Office Action, received 17 December 2008, is

acknowledged. Claims 1, 17 and 19 have been amended.

3. Claims 1-7, 9 and 11-19 are pending and under consideration.

Rejection Withdrawn

4. The rejection of claims 1-7, 9, 11-16 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for "clarifying the clinical specimens from containment", is withdrawn in light of the amendment of the claims.

5. The rejection of claim 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, is withdrawn in light of the claim amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-7, 9, 11-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are:
 - (1) the composition of the "modified" lysis buffer.
 - (2) the steps/reagents of the "modified" method to increase the yield and quality of DNA
 - (3) which "intergenic region" is selected from SEQ ID NO:3
 - (4) which "portions" of flanking regions of gene mmaA1 and mmaA2
 - (5) what further steps/reagents are in "developing" a PCR process which "comprises" the selected specific oligonucleotide promers.

Art Unit: 1645

Claim 1 is deficient in all five of the elements. Dependent claims 2-7, 9, 11-16 and 18 are deficient in at least one of the missing elements.

Claim Objections

- 8. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no verb in the claim, i.e., there should be the word "is" immediately following "isothiocyanate". Appropriate correction is required.
- 9. Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 17. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Both claim 17 and 19 are drawn to a set of primers consisting of the identical two primers.

Conclusion

- 10. Claims 1-7, 9, 11-16, 18 and 19 are not allowed. Claim 17 appears to be free of prior art.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM EST. Thursday is the examiner's work at home day.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the Examiner's Supervisor, Robert B. Mondesi (571)272-0956.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,994 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

January 31, 2009